

ROBERT CORI

MARIA ROSARIA FORMISANO

**Partially abelian squarefree words**

*Informatique théorique et applications*, tome 24, n° 6 (1990), p. 509-520.

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ITA\\_1990\\_\\_24\\_6\\_509\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ITA_1990__24_6_509_0)

© AFCET, 1990, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Informatique théorique et applications » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## PARTIALLY ABELIAN SQUAREFREE WORDS (\*)

by Robert CORI <sup>(1)</sup> and Maria Rosaria FORMISANO <sup>(2)</sup>

Communicated by J. BERSTEL

---

*Abstract.* – *The notions of square-freeness and abelian squarefreeness of words are generalized by introducing the definition of  $\theta$ -square free words for a commutation  $\theta$  in the free monoid. Properties involving finiteness or infiniteness of the set of  $\theta$ -square free words are obtained for alphabets of three and four letters.*

*Résumé.* – *On généralise la notion de mots sans carré et de mots sans carré abélien en introduisant celle de mot sans carré partiellement abélien pour une relation de commutation  $\theta$ . Des résultats concernant le caractère fini ou infini de l'ensemble des mots sans carré partiellement abélien sont obtenus dans le cas des alphabets de trois ou quatre lettres.*

The determination of avoidable properties of words is one of the main chapters in the combinatorial theory of the free monoid [2, 10]. Among these properties, the one of containing a square has been investigated by many authors (see the survey of Berstel [3]). Since the work of Thue [15] it is known that there exist infinitely many square-free words in a three letter alphabet. Another avoidable property is the abelian square-freeness, an abelian square being a word  $fg$  such that  $f$  and  $g$  possess the same number of occurrences of each letter of the alphabet; Pleasants [12] has shown that the set of words which do not contain an abelian square over an alphabet of five letters is infinite. The same question for a 4-letter alphabet is still open.

The recent interest for free partially commutative monoids (introduced by Cartier and Foata [7]) motivated by the modelization of concurrency [1, 11], suggests the definition of a new notion of a square. It is that of a square

---

(\*) Received in December 1988, final version in March 1989.

<sup>(1)</sup> L.A.B.R.I., Université Bordeaux-I, U.A. n° 726, C.N.R.S., 351, cours de la Libération, 33400 Talence, France.

<sup>(2)</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica, R. Caccioppoli, Università di Napoli, via Mezzocannone 8, Napoli, Italia.

with respect to a commutation relation  $\sim_\theta$ , called a  $\theta$ -square in this article. It is a word  $fg$  such that  $f \sim_\theta g$ . If  $\theta$  is empty then the ordinary squares are obtained and if  $\theta$  is the whole set  $A \times A$  then the  $\theta$ -squares are the abelian squares. A different definition is given by A. Carpi and A. De Luca [6]. As a consequence of the result of Pleasants, for any alphabet  $A$  containing at least five letters and for any relation  $\theta$  the set of  $\theta$ -square-free words is infinite. We thus restrict our investigation to the infiniteness of the set of  $\theta$ -square-free words in the case of three or four letter alphabets.

For a three letter alphabet, we prove that if two or three pairs of letters commute then the set of  $\theta$ -square-free words is finite. If only one pair of letters commute then it is infinite and we give a characterisation of those  $\theta$ -square-free words in terms of excluded factors.

For a four letter alphabet infiniteness is proved in the case that strictly less than five pairs of letters commute; the case of five and six commutations remains an open problem.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

The definitions and notation follow M. Lothaire [10] (*see* chapters 1 and 2).

$A$  is a finite alphabet,  $A^*$  is the *free monoid* generated by  $A$ , whose elements are called *words*,  $\mathbf{1}$  is the empty word. The *length* of a word  $w$  is denoted by  $|w|$  and the number of occurrences of the letter  $a$  in  $w$  by  $|w|_a$ . The word  $u$  is a *factor* of  $w$  if  $w = w_1 u w_2$ . A *morphism*  $\varphi$  between two free monoids  $A^*$  and  $B^*$  is a mapping  $\varphi$  such that:

$$\forall u, v \in A^*, \quad \varphi(uv) = \varphi(u) \cdot \varphi(v).$$

### Square-free words

A *square* is a word  $w = uu$  with  $u \neq \mathbf{1}$ , and a *square-free* word is such that none of its factors is a square. If  $A$  is a 2-letter alphabet there are only six square-free words namely  $a, b, ab, ba, aba, bab$ . If the alphabet has cardinality greater than 2, Thue [15] has shown that there are infinitely many square free words; for instance the sequence  $u_1 = abc$ ,  $u_{i+1} = \varphi(u_i)$  where  $\varphi$  is the morphism:

$$\varphi(a) = abc, \quad \varphi(b) = ac, \quad \varphi(c) = b$$

consists of square-free words. An *infinite word*  $w$  is a mapping from the set  $N$  of natural integers into  $A$ ; such a word  $w$  is square-free if  $w = w_1 u w'$  (where  $w, u$  are finite and  $w'$  infinite) implies  $u = \mathbf{1}$ . Clearly the existence of infinite square free words is equivalent to the infiniteness of the set of square-free finite words.

### Commutation relation

A symmetrical subset  $\theta$  of  $A \times A$  generates a relation denoted by  $\sim_\theta$  on  $A^*$  as the least congruence for which  $ab \sim_\theta ba$ , for all  $(a, b) \in \theta$ . In other words, two elements  $f, g$  of  $A^*$  are equivalent under  $\sim_\theta$  if there exist  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k$  such that:

$$h_1 = f, h_k = g, \quad \text{and} \quad \forall i (1 \leq i < k) h_i = h'_i a_i b_i h''_i, \\ h_{i+1} = h'_i b_i a_i h''_i \quad (a_i, b_i) \in \theta.$$

Note that it is generally assumed that  $(a, a) \notin \theta$  for all  $a$  but this assumption has no importance here.

DEFINITION 1.1: A square with respect to the relation  $\theta$ , or a  $\theta$ -square, is a word  $w$  such that  $w = uv$  and  $u \sim_\theta v$ . A word  $w$  is  $\theta$ -square-free if none of its factors is a  $\theta$ -square. The set of  $\theta$ -square-free words is denoted by  $L_2(\theta)$ .

Note that if  $\theta$  and  $\rho$  are such that  $\theta \subset \rho$ , then each  $\theta$ -square is also a  $\rho$ -square and then  $L_2(\theta)$  contains  $L_2(\rho)$ . If  $\theta$  is empty then  $\theta$ -squares are the usual squares and if  $\theta$  contains all pairs  $(a, b)$  for  $a \neq b$  then  $\theta$ -squares are the abelian squares.

A. Carpi and A. Deluca [6] have introduced another notion of square-freeness in the quotient monoid  $A^*/\sim_\theta$ . A word is square-free in  $A^*/\sim_\theta$  if all words of its  $\sim_\theta$  class are square-free. It is easy to verify that if a word is square-free in  $A^*/\sim_\theta$  then it is also  $\theta$ -square-free, but the converse is not true. For instance in  $\{a, b\}^*$  with  $ba \sim_\theta ab$ , the word  $aba$  is  $\theta$ -square-free but not square-free in  $A^*/\sim_\theta$  (it is equivalent to  $aab$ ).

We end this section with a characterisation of  $\theta$ -squares.

Let  $a, b$  the two letters of  $A$  and let  $\pi_{a,b}$  be the morphism of  $A^*$  onto  $\{a, b\}^*$  defined by:

$$\pi_{a,b}(a) = a, \quad \pi_{a,b}(b) = b, \quad \pi_{a,b}(c) = \mathbf{1}, \quad \forall c \notin \{a, b\}.$$

The following proposition is a reformulation of Proposition 1.1 of [8].

PROPOSITION 1.2: *The word  $u.v$  is a  $\theta$ -square if and only if conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied:*

- (i)  $|u|_a = |v|_a, \forall a \in A.$
- (ii)  $\pi_{a,b}(u) = \pi_{a,b}(v), \forall (a,b) \notin \theta.$

## 2. PARTIALLY ABELIAN SQUARE FREE WORDS IN $\{a, b, c\}^*$

In this section  $A$  is the alphabet consisting of the three letters  $\{a, b, c\}$  and  $\theta_1$  is the relation consisting of the two pairs  $\{(a, c), (c, a)\}$ ,  $\theta_2$  consists of  $\{(b, c), (c, b)\}$  and  $\theta_3$  of  $\{(a, b), (b, a)\}$ . We will prove that there are only finitely many  $(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)$  square-free words. We first give some necessary conditions for a word to be  $\theta_1$ -square-free. Further investigation along these lines would probably lead one to a generalization to  $\theta_1$ -square-free words of the results obtained by Shelton and Soni [14] on square-free words in  $\{a, b, c\}^*$ .

PROPOSITION 2.1: *Let  $f$  be a  $\theta_1$ -square-free word such that  $f = f_1 bacbf_2$  or  $f = f_1 bcabf_2$ . Then at least one of the two words  $f_1$  or  $f_2$  is of length strictly less than 2.*

*Proof:* Because of the symmetric role played by  $\underline{a}$  and  $\underline{c}$ , we can restrict ourselves to  $f = f_1 bacbf_2$ . Suppose that  $f_1$  has length at least 2; then  $f_1 = f'_1 bc$ , as well as any other end for  $f_1$ , gives a square (this is the case for  $ab, cb, ba, ac$ ) or a  $\theta_1$ -square (this is the case for  $ca$ ). This gives :

$$f = f'_1 bcbacbf_2.$$

If  $f_2$  begins with an  $\underline{a}$  then  $cba cba$  is a square; thus  $f_2$  begins with a  $\underline{c}$  and this occurrence of  $c$  can be followed neither by a  $\underline{b}$  (square  $c b c b$ ) nor by an  $\underline{a}$  ( $\theta_1$ -square  $b a c b c a$ ) thus  $f_2$  is of length at most 1 giving the result. ■

Let us introduce the following subsets of  $\{a, b, c\}^*$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \{ba, baca\}, & Z &= \{bc, bcac\}, & X &= Y \cup Z \\ U &= \{1, a, c, ac, ca, aca, cac, bac, bca, abac, abca, cbac, cbca\} \\ V &= \{1, b, bac, bca, bcab, bacb, bcaba, bcabc, bacba, bacbc\}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.2: *The set  $L_2(\theta_1)$  of  $\theta_1$ -square-free words is a subset of  $UX^*V$ . Moreover, if  $w$  is a  $\theta_1$ -square-free word such that*

$w = ux_1x_2 \dots x_k vx_i \in X$ ,  $u \in U$ ,  $v \in V$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} i < k, \quad x_i \in Y, \quad |x_{i+2} \dots x_k v| \neq 0 &\Rightarrow x_{i+1} \in Z \\ i < k, \quad x_i \in Z, \quad |x_{i+2} \dots x_k v| \neq 0 &\Rightarrow x_{i+1} \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:* Let  $w$  be a  $\theta_1$ -square free word. If  $w$  contains one or no occurrences of  $b$  then the result is easy to obtain by inspection. If  $w$  contains more than two occurrences of  $b$ , as  $w$  is square free the words between two consecutive occurrences of  $b$  are square free over  $\{a, c\}$  hence one of  $a, c, ac, ca, aca, cac$ . We rule out the possibility that they are  $ac$  or  $ca$  by Proposition 2.1. We can thus obtain:

$$w = \alpha_1 b \alpha_2 b \dots b \alpha_k b \alpha_{k+1} \quad \text{with } k \geq 2.$$

If  $k \leq 3$  the result is again obtained by inspection; assume that  $k > 3$ . Since  $|\alpha_1 b \alpha_2| \geq 2$  and  $|\alpha_k b \alpha_{k+1}| \geq 2$ . It follows by Proposition 2.1, that  $\alpha_i \in \{a, c, aca, cac\}$  for  $2 < i < k$  and:

$$w = \alpha_1 b \alpha_2 w' b \alpha_k b \alpha_{k+1}$$

with  $w' \in X^*$ .

If  $b \alpha_2$  is an element of  $X$  then  $\alpha_1 \in \{1, a, c, ac, aca, cac\}$  which is included in  $U$ ; similarly if  $b \alpha_k$  is an element of  $X$  then  $b \alpha_{k+1}$  belongs to  $X$  or to  $\{bac, bca\}$  giving the result.

We can thus suppose  $b \alpha_2, b \alpha_k \notin X$ ; then  $\alpha_2, \alpha_k \in \{ac, ca\}$ ; and an easy inspection shows in this case  $\alpha_1 b \alpha_2 \in U$  and  $b \alpha_k b \alpha_{k+1} \in V$  as these words do not contain  $\theta_1$ -squares.

Let us now consider a decomposition of a  $\theta_1$ -square free word  $w$  in:

$$w = ux_1 \dots x_k v, \quad u \in U, \quad v \in V, \quad x_i \in X$$

then as  $babaca$  contains a square, we obtain:

$$i < k; \quad x_i = ba \Rightarrow x_{i+1} \in \{bc, bcac\}.$$

If  $x_i = baca$  and  $x_{i+1} = ba$  then if  $x_{i+2} \dots x_k v$  begins with the letter  $\underline{b}$ ; this gives the square  $abab$ , so that  $x_{i+2} \dots x_k v$  is empty. ■

PROPOSITION 2.3 : *The length of a  $(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)$ -square free word is at most 15.*

*Proof:* Let  $w$  be a  $(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)$ -square free word;  $w$  being  $\theta_1$ -square free it can be written as

$$w = u x_1 \dots x_k v.$$

From Proposition 2.1 applied to  $\theta_2$ -square-free words we deduce that none of the  $x_i$  for  $i=1 \dots k-2$  is  $bcac$  since in that case  $x_{i+1}$  would be from the set  $\{ba, baca\}$  giving the factor  $acba$  for  $w$ .

The longest  $\theta_1$ -square-free word belonging to  $\{ba, bc, baca\}^*$  are:

*babcbba, babcbacabcbabc, bacabcbabc,*  
*bacabcbacaba, bcbabc, bcbacabcbabc*

This gives the two  $(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)$  square free words of length 15:

*cabacabc bacabac*  
*cbabcbacabcbabc. ■*

*Remark 2.4:* Recall that  $L_2(\theta)$  is the set of  $\theta$ -square-free words. In next section we will prove that  $L_2(\theta_1)$  (and symmetrically  $L_2(\theta_2)$ , and  $L_2(\theta_3)$ ) is infinite. By easy but tedious considerations (or by using a computer) it is possible to verify that:

$$L_2(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2) = L_2(\theta_1) \cap L_2(\theta_2)$$

$$L_2(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2 \cup \theta_3) = L_2(\theta_1) \cap L_2(\theta_2) \cap L_2(\theta_3).$$

Note that these equalities do not hold for any  $\theta, \theta'$  since if we consider the four letter alphabet  $\{a, b, c, d\}$  and the two relations  $\theta_1 = \{(a, b), (b, a)\}$  and  $\theta_2 = \{(c, d), (d, c)\}$  then  $abcdbadc$  belongs to  $L_2(\theta_1) \cap L_2(\theta_2)$  but not to  $L_2(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)$ .

*Remark 2.5:* The number of words of length  $k$  for  $(1 \leq k \leq 15)$  of  $L_2(\theta_1)$ ,  $L_2(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)$ ,  $L_2(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2 \cup \theta_3)$  is given by the following table:

$k$	$L_2(\theta_1)$	$L_2(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)$	$L_2(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2 \cup \theta_3)$
1.....	3	3	3
2.....	6	6	6
3.....	12	12	12
4.....	18	18	18
5.....	30	30	30
6.....	38	34	30
7.....	46	32	18
8.....	48	22	0
9.....	60	24	0
10.....	68	24	-
11.....	88	30	-
12.....	96	28	-
13.....	98	18	-
14.....	100	6	-
15.....	100	2	-

3. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR  $\theta_1$ -SQUARE-FREENESS

In this section we give conditions for a word  $w$  which imply that  $w$  is  $\theta_1$ -square-free and we prove that these conditions are satisfied by the sequence of Thue-Morse. We also give some conditions which have to be satisfied by a morphism in order that the image of a square-free word is a  $\theta_1$ -square-free word.

**DEFINITION 3.1:** A word  $f$  satisfies condition (F) if neither  $bacb$  nor  $bcab$  is a factor of  $f$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2:** Let  $f$  be a finite square-free word satisfying (F), and containing a  $\theta_1$ -square as a factor, then  $f$  admits one of the following decompositions: (α)  $f=f_1acuaauf_2$ ; (β)  $f=f_1caucacucf_2$ ; (γ)  $f=f_1auacaucf_2$ ; (δ)  $f=f_1cucacucf_2$ .

Moreover in such a decomposition one of  $f_1$  or  $f_2$  is of length at most 1.

*Proof:* Let  $f$  be such a word. Then:

$$f=f_1ghf_2 \quad \text{and} \quad g \sim_{\theta_1} h.$$

As  $f$  is square-free and satisfies condition (F) the only possible words between two occurrences of  $b$  are from the set  $B=\{a, c, aca, cac\}$ . Note that two different words in this set are not equivalent under  $\sim_{\theta_1}$ . Let  $g$  and  $h$  be decomposed in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= g_1 b g_2 \dots b g_p, & \forall i=1, p : g_i \in \{a, c\}^* \\ h &= h_1 b h_2 \dots b h_q, & \forall i=1, q : h_i \in \{a, c\}. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 1.1 we get  $p=q$  and  $g_i \sim h_i$  for  $i=1, \dots, p$ .

From  $g_i \in B$  for  $i=2, \dots, p-1$ , we get  $g_i=h_i$  for  $i=2, \dots, p-1$ . As  $f$  is square-free  $g_1 \neq h_1$  or  $g_p \neq h_p$ , by our previous remark  $g_p h_1$  is an element of  $B$  and  $g_p h_1 = aca$  or  $g_p h_1 = cac$ . As  $\underline{a}$  and  $\underline{c}$  play symmetric roles we can suppose  $g_p h_1 = aca$ , this gives:

$$g_p = a \quad \text{and} \quad h_1 = ca \quad \text{or} \quad g_p = ac \quad \text{and} \quad h_1 = a;$$

in the first case  $h_p = a$  and  $g_1 = ca$  giving decomposition (α); in the second case  $h_p = ca$  and  $g_1 = a$  giving decomposition (γ).

Let us consider now the decomposition:

$$f=f_1acuaauf_2$$



and let us show that at least one of  $f_1$  or  $f_2$  is of length at most 1; a symmetric proof will give the other ones. In such a decomposition  $u$  begins and ends with the letter  $\underline{b}$ . If  $u$  is of length more than 1, then  $u$  has one of the following decompositions:

$$u = babu', \quad u = bacabu', \quad u = bcbu', \quad u = bcacbu'.$$

The first one gives a square  $abab$ , the second one  $bacabaca$  (with the  $\underline{b}$  at the end of the first occurrence of  $u$ ). The third one  $cbc b$ , as to the fourth we have

$$f = f_1 acbcacbu' aca u a f_2.$$

Since  $bacb$  is not a factor of  $f$ ,  $f_1$  doesn't end with  $\underline{b}$ ; it doesn't end with  $\underline{c}$  or  $\underline{a}$  either, since  $f$  is square-free; thus  $f_1$  is empty. If  $u$  is of length 1, then:

$$f = f_1 ac b aca b a f_2.$$

And  $f_2$  doesn't begin with  $\underline{a}$  (square  $aa$ ) nor with  $\underline{b}$  (square  $abab$ ); the first letter of  $f_2$  is thus  $\underline{c}$  and one can easily prove that this  $\underline{c}$  is not followed by any other letter so that  $f_2$  has length 1. ■

**COROLLARY 3.3:** *Any infinite square free word of  $\{a, b, c\}^*$  beginning with a letter  $b$  and satisfying (F) is  $\theta_1$ -square-free.*

*Proof:* Let  $w$  be such a word and assume it has a  $\theta_1$ -square then  $w = w_1 gh w_2 w'$  with  $|w_2| \geq 2$ . Since  $w_1 gh w_2$  satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 3.1 this gives  $|w_1| \leq 1$ , since  $w$  begins a letter  $b$ , we get  $w_1 = b$  and among the decompositions of  $w_1 gh w_2$  only the following remain because of condition (F):

$$ba u aca u ca w_2, \quad bc u cac u ac w_2.$$

Then  $u$  is of length greater than one and ends with  $cacb$  or  $acab$ . This implies  $|w_2| \leq 1$ , a contradiction. ■

**COROLLARY 3.4:** *The infinite sequence of words obtained from the Thue Morse sequence by deleting the first letter consists of  $\theta_1$ -square-free words. Thus  $L_2(\theta_1)$  is infinite.*

*Proof:* Set  $u_0 = abc$ , and  $u_i = \varphi(u_{i-1})$  where  $\varphi$  is defined by  $\varphi(a) = abc$ ,  $\varphi(b) = ca$ ,  $\varphi(c) = b$ . Remark first that  $cbc$  is not a factor of  $u_i$  since  $\{abc, ac, b\}^* \cap A^* cbc A^*$  is empty. We observe that, if  $\varphi(u_i)$  has  $bcab$  as a factor, then  $u_i$  contains  $aa$  and is not square-free. If  $\varphi(u_i)$  contains the factor

$bcab$  then  $u_i$  contains necessarily  $cbc$  with is a contradiction by the previous remark. We thus obtain the result as a consequence of Corollary 3.2. ■

Note that each  $u_i$  is also  $\theta_1$ -square-free but the technical proof of this fact is of poor interest and is omitted here.

#### 4. PARTIALLY ABELIAN SQUARE FREE WORDS IN A FOUR LETTERS ALPHABET

In this section,  $A$  is the alphabet  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ . We consider the two relations  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  which are obtained by symmetrization of:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'_1 &= \{(a, c), (a, d), (b, d), (c, d)\} \\ \rho'_2 &= \{(a, c), (a, d), (b, c), (b, d)\}.\end{aligned}$$

We will show that there exist an infinite number of  $\rho_1$ -square-free words and of  $\rho_2$ -square-free words. By the symmetric role of  $a, b, c, d$  and using the fact that if  $\theta \subset \rho$ , any  $\rho$ -square-free word is also  $\theta$ -square-free, it is easy to verify that if  $\rho$  is any relation with at most four pairs of commutations then the set of  $\rho$ -square free words is infinite. The cases where  $\rho$  has five or six pairs of commutations remain an open question, the last one is a reformulation of the problem of the existence of an infinite word without an abelian square, in a four letters alphabet.

To prove these results we use the Thue Morse sequence  $t$  defined by the iteration of morphism  $\varphi : \varphi(a) = abc, \varphi(b) = ac, \varphi(c) = b$ , or any infinite sequence with no  $\theta_1$ -square.

Let  $\psi$  be the morphism defined by

$$\psi(a) = a; \quad \psi(b) = bd; \quad \psi(c) = c;$$

then we have

**THEOREM:**  $\psi(t)$  is a  $\rho_1$  and a  $\rho_2$ -square free infinite word.

1. It is not difficult to prove that  $\psi(t)$  is  $\rho_1$ -square free. Assume  $\psi(t)$  contains a  $\rho_1$ -square  $uv$ , then by Proposition 1.1.:

$$\pi_{a,b}(u) = \pi_{a,b}(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{b,c}(u) = \pi_{b,c}(v).$$

Let  $u'$  and  $v'$  be obtained from  $u$  and  $v$  by deleting all the occurrences of  $d$ . Let:

$$t = t_1 u' v' t_2$$

and

$$\pi_{a,b}(u') = \pi_{a,b}(v'), \quad \pi_{b,c}(u') = \pi_{b,c}(v')$$

giving a  $\theta_1$ -square for  $t$  which is in contradiction with Corollary 3.3.

2. Suppose that  $w = \psi(t)$  contains a  $\rho_2$ -square  $uv$ , let  $t_1$  (resp.  $t_1 x$ ) be the longest factor of  $t$  such that  $\psi(t_1)$  is a left factor of  $w_1$  (resp.  $\psi(t_1 x)$  is a left factor of  $w_1 u$ ), and let  $t_1 xy$  be the smallest such that  $\psi(t_1 xy)$  has  $w_1 uv$  as a left factor.

Then we have:

$$t = t_1 xy t_2, \quad w = w_1 u v w_2$$

and one of the following pair (i), (j)' of conditions holds:

(1) $u = \psi(x)$	(1') $v = \psi(y)$
(2) $u = \psi(x) b$	(2') $bv = \psi(y)$
(3) $u = d\psi(x)$	(3') $vd = \psi(y)$
(4) $u = d\psi(x) b$	(4') $bvd = \psi(y)$ .

Note that as  $uv$  is a  $\rho_2$ -square we have

$$|u|_b = |v|_b \quad \text{and} \quad |u|_d = |v|_d.$$

This gives that the only possible combinations are:

- (1) or (4) with (1)' or (4)',
- (2) with (3)',
- (3) with (2)'.

As  $x$  and  $y$  are to be consecutive in  $t$  and  $u$  and  $v$  are in  $w$  then (1) with (4)', (4) with (1)', (2) with (3)' and (3) with (2)' are to be discarded:

- (1) with (4)' gives  $ubvd = \psi(x)\psi(y)$ ,
- (4) with (1)' gives  $uv = d\psi(x)b\psi(y)$ ,
- (2) with (3)' gives  $uvd = \psi(x)b\psi(y)$ ,
- (3) with (2)' gives  $ubv = d\psi(x)\psi(y)$ .

We have only to consider (1), (1)' and (4), (4)'.

If (1) and (1)' hold then:

$$uv = \psi(x)\psi(y);$$

$uv$  being a  $\rho_2$ -square this gives:

$$\pi_{a,b}(u) = \pi_{a,b}(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{c,d}(u) = \pi_{c,d}(v).$$

But  $\pi_{a,b}(u) = \pi_{a,b}(x)$  and  $\pi_{c,d}(u)$  is obtained from  $\pi_{b,c}(x)$  replacing the occurrences of  $b$  by  $d$ . Thus:

$$\pi_{a,b}(x) = \pi_{a,b}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{b,c}(x) = \pi_{b,c}(y)$$

and again by Proposition 1.1,  $xy$  is a  $\rho_1$ -square in  $t$ , a contradiction.

If (4) and (4)' hold then:

$$uvd = d\psi(x)\psi(y)$$

and as  $uv$  is a  $\rho_2$ -square,  $\pi_{a,b}(u) = \pi_{a,b}(v)$  and  $\pi_{c,d}(u) = \pi_{c,d}(v)$ . We thus get

$$\pi_{a,b}(bud) = \pi_{a,b}(bvd), \quad \pi_{c,d}(bud) = \pi_{c,d}(bvd).$$

From (4), and (4)' we obtain:

$$\pi_{a,b}(b\psi(x)b) = \pi_{a,b}(\psi(y)), \quad \pi_{c,d}(d\psi(x)d) = \pi_{c,d}(\psi(y))$$

$\pi_{c,d}(\psi(x))$  is obtained from  $\pi_{b,c}(x)$  by replacing the occurrences of  $b$  by  $d$ ; we obtain

$$\pi_{a,b}(bxb) = \pi_{a,b}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{b,c}(bxb) = \pi_{b,c}(y).$$

Thus  $bxb$  and  $y$  are equivalent under  $\sim_{\theta_1}$ , giving  $y = by'b$  and  $x \sim_{\theta_1} y'$  ( $b$  commutes with no letter under  $\theta_1$ ) since  $t$  contains the factor  $xy$ , we have  $xy = xby'b$  which is a  $\theta_1$ -square, and we also obtain a contradiction. ■

## REFERENCES

1. I. J. AALBERSBERG and G. ROZENBERG, Theory of Traces, *Theor. Comp. Sci.*, 1988, 60, pp. 1-82.
2. D. BEAM, A. EHRENFEUCHT and McNULTY, Avoidable Patterns in String of Symbols, *Pacific J. of Maths*, 1979, 85, pp. 261-294.
3. J. BERSTEL, Some Recent Results on Square-Free Words. *Techniques in M. FONTET, K. MEHLHORN Eds., STACS'84, Lecture Notes Comput. Sci.*, 1984, 166, pp. 14-25.
4. F. BRANDENBURG, Uniformly Growing  $k$ -th Power-Free Homomorphisms, *Theor. Comp. Sci.*, 1983, 23, pp. 145-149.
5. A. CARPI, On the Size of a Square-Free Morphism on a Three Letter Alphabet, *Information Proces. Lett.*, 1983, 16, pp. 231-235.
6. A. CARPI and A. DE LUCA, Square-Free Words on Partially Commutative Free Monoids, *Information Proces. Lett.*, 1986, 22, pp. 125-131.
7. P. CARTIER and D. FOATA, Problèmes combinatoires de commutation et réarrangements, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1969, n° 5, Springer.

8. R. CORI and D. PERRIN, Automates et Commutations Partielles, *R.A.I.R.O. Informatique théorique*, 1985, 19, p. 232.
9. M. CROCHEMORE, Mots et Morphismes sans carré, *Annals of Discrete Math.*, 1983, 17, pp. 235-245.
10. M. LOTHAIRE, Combinatorics on Words, *Addison Wesley*, 1983.
11. A. MAZURKIEWICZ, Concurrent Program Schemes and their Interpretations, *DIA-MI-PB-78*, Aarhus University, Aarhus, 1977.
12. P. PLEASANTS, Non Repetitive Sequences, *Proc. Cambridge. Phil. Soc.*, 1970, 68, pp. 267-274.
13. R. SHELTON, Aperiodic Words on Three Symbols, I, II, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 1981, 321, pp. 195-209; 1981, 327, pp. 1-11.
14. R. SHELTON and R. SONI, Aperiodic Words on Three Symbols, III, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 1982, 330, pp. 44-52.
15. A. THUE, Uber unendliche Zeichenreihen, *Norshe Vid. Selsk. Skr. J. Mat. Nat. Kl. Christiania*, 1906, pp. 1-22.