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Abstract. — We characterize space complexity classes in terms of bounded erasing homomorphisms applied to threefold intersections of one-counter languages, and also we characterize the classes which are given by bounded erasing homomorphisms applied to mixed intersections of one-counter languages and context-free languages or one-counter languages and checking stack languages. A generalization to erasing bounded transducers is made.

Résumé. — On caractérise les classes de complexité spatiale en termes d’homomorphismes à effacement borné appliqués à des intersections de trois langages à un compteur. On caractérise également les classes obtenues en appliquant des homomorphismes à effacement borné à des intersections de langages à un compteur avec des langages algébriques (context-free) ou à des intersections de langages à un compteur avec des langages vérifiables (checking stack). On donne aussi une généralisation aux transducteurs à effacement borné.

1. INTRODUCTION

There are a lot of results concerning the representation of complexity classes by means of bounded erasing homomorphisms applied to simple families of languages. Consider e.g. the class NTIME(n) of quasi-realtime languages which is the closure of the intersection of three context-free languages under length-preserving homomorphisms (see Book and Greibach [6] and a refinement in Book/Nivat/Paterson [8]) and the correspondence between the amount of erasing of homomorphisms and time bounds (Book/Greibach/Wegbreit [7]).

More recent results provide a representation of time and space complexity classes by means of bounded erasing homomorphisms applied to equality sets and equality sets with bounded balance (cf. [5, 10]).

The aims of this paper are twofold.
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First we characterize space complexity classes $l$-NSPACE($s$) of on-line Turing machines by means of bounded erasing homomorphisms and intersections of one-counter languages and characterize the result of applying bounded erasing homomorphisms to mixed intersections of one-counter languages and context-free languages or one-counter languages and checking stack languages, and then we show that the amount of erasing of pushdown and counter machine transductions correspond to the amount of erasing of homomorphisms which are used together with the characterizing languages of transductions.

2. NOTATIONS AND BASIC RESULTS

We assume familiarity with basic concepts from AFL theory and from complexity theory.

Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of positive integers.

Let $id$ denote the function $id(n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$\Sigma^*$ denotes the set of all finite strings over an alphabet $\Sigma$. A homomorphism $h : \Sigma^* \to \Delta^*$ is $f$-bounded erasing on a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ iff there is a constant $0$ such that for all $w \in L$, $|h(w)| \leq c \cdot |w|$ where $|w|$ denotes the length of $w$.

For a class of functions $\mathbb{F}$ a homomorphism $h$ is called $\mathbb{F}$-erasing on $L$ iff there is a function $f \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $h$ is $f$-bounded erasing on $L$.

Important classes $\mathbb{F}$ are the polynomials $\text{POL} = \{ n^k : k \in \mathbb{N} \}$ and the exponential functions $\text{EXP} = \{ 2^{c \cdot n} : C > 0 \text{ an arbitrary constant} \}$.

In the same way the classes:

$\text{POL} f = \{ f(n)^k : k \in \mathbb{N} \}$ and

$\text{EXP} f = \{ 2^{c \cdot f(n)} : c > 0 \text{ an arbitrary constant} \}$,

are defined for a function $f$.

For a family $\mathcal{L}$ of languages let $H_f(\mathcal{L})$ denote the closure of $\mathcal{L}$ under $f$-bounded erasing homomorphisms and let $H_\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{L})$ denote the closure of $\mathcal{L}$ under $\mathbb{F}$-erasing bounded homomorphisms, i.e.:

\[ H_f(\mathcal{L}) = \{ h(L) : L \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } h \text{ is a homomorphism which is } f\text{-bounded erasing on } L \}, \]

and:

\[ H_\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{L}) = \bigcup_{f \in \mathbb{F}} H_f(\mathcal{L}). \]

A homomorphism $h : \Sigma^* \to \Delta^*$ is length-preserving iff:

\[ |h(w)| = |w| \text{ for all } w \in \Sigma^*. \]
Let $H(\mathcal{L}) = \{ h(L) : L \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } h \text{ is a length-preserving homomorphism} \}$ denote the closure of a class $\mathcal{L}$ under length-preserving homomorphisms. Let $\hat{H}$ denote the closure under arbitrary homomorphisms. Let LIN, 1-C, CF, CSA, RE denote the families of linear, one-counter, context-free, (one-way) checking stack, context-sensitive and recursively enumerable languages respectively.

Let $\wedge$ denote the wedge operator from AFL theory, i.e., for families of languages $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \wedge \mathcal{L}_2 = \{ L_1 \cap L_2 : L_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}_2 \}.$$

Furthermore we define time and space complexity classes for several types of machines (cf. [7]). A machine $M$ of a given type operates within time (space) $f$ iff for each input string $w$ and each accepting computation of $M$ on $w$ the machine $M$ operates within $f(|w|)$-bounded time (space).

Some particular complexity classes used in this paper are the:

- space complexity classes of on-line deterministic (nondeterministic) Turing machines:
  
  $1-T$-$XSPACE(f)$ where $f(n) \geq \log n$, and $X \in \{ D, N \}$;
  
  $XSPACE(f)$ where $f(n) \geq n$, and $X \in \{ D, N \}$.

  (Obviously for $f \geq \text{id}$ $1-T$-$XSPACE(f) = XSPACE(f)$);

- time complexity classes of on-line $k$-tape nondeterministic Turing machines:
  
  $kT$-$NTIME(f)$ for $f(n) \geq n$,
  
  $NTIME(f) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} kT$-$NTIME(f)$

- time complexity classes of (non)deterministic $k$-counter machines with a one-way input tape:
  
  $1-kC$-$XTIME(f)$ for $f(n) \geq n$ and
  
  $1$-$multiC$-$XTIME(f) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} 1-kC$-$XTIME(f)$, $X \in \{ D, N \}$;

- time-space complexity classes of on-line Turing machines with an additional unbounded pushdown tape (the tape is in fact only bounded by the time-bound):
  
  $1$-$aux PD$-$T$-$NSPACE$-$TIME(f, g)$ (cf. [17]);

- time-space complexity classes of on-line Turing machines with an
additional unbounded checking stack tape:
1-aux CSA-T-NSPACE-TIME (f, g) (cf. [16]).
We recall some theorems from [6, 8 and 7].

**Proposition:**
NTIME (n) = H (CF ⊗ CF ⊗ CF) [6]
= H (CF ⊗ LIN ⊗ LIN) [8].
NTIME (f) = H_f (NTIME (n)) for f ≥ id,
NSPACE (f) = H_f (NSPACE (n)) for f ≥ id,
and DSPACE (f) = H_f (DSPACE (n)) for f ≥ id. [7].

3. THE REPRESENTATION OF NONDETERMINISTIC SPACE COMPLEXITY CLASSES

Our representation is based on three lemmas.

**Lemma 1** [11]:
1-T-XSPACE (log t) = 1-3C-XTIME (POL t), X ∈ {D, N}, t (n) ≥ n.

**Lemma 2** [7]:
1-kC-NTIME (Pol t) = H_{Pol t} (1-kC-NTIME (n)), t (n) ≥ n.

The corresponding assertion in [7] is formulated for Turing machines but
the padding method used for the proof in [7] can be applied easily to time-
bounded counter machines and to many other types of multitape machines.

**Lemma 3:**
1-kC-NTIME (n) = H (1-C ⊗ ... ⊗ 1-C).

k times

Lemma 3 is a consequence of the corresponding representation of quasi-
real-time multi-tape AFA (see [12]) and the fact that 1-C equals the class of
languages acceptable in real-time by nondeterministic one-counter machi-
nes [13]. It is easy to see that 1-C can be replaced by the deterministic class
1-DC thus having 1-kC-NTIME (n) = H (1-DC ⊗ ... ⊗ 1-DC).

k times

This is possible also for Q and other classes, e. g.:
Q = H (DCF ⊗ DCF ⊗ DCF).

From lemma 1, 2 and 3 we obtain our first representation theorem.
THEOREM 1:
1-T-NSPACE(s) = EXP_s(1-DC ∨ 1-DC ∨ 1-DC) for s ≥ log.
(This means NSPACE(s) = EXP_s(1-DC ∨ 1-DC ∨ 1-DC) for s ≥ id.)

Since NTIME(n) = H(DCF ∨ DCF ∨ DCF) [6] and
NTIME(t) = H_t(NTIME(n)) [7] it follows that
NTIME(t) = H_t(DCF ∨ DCF ∨ DCF).

This representation can be improved if a class \( \mathcal{F} \) of bounding functions is
closed under squaring, i.e. if \( f \in \mathcal{F} \) then \( (f(n))^2 \in \mathcal{F} \) (e.g. the classes POL or EXP).

THEOREM 2: Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a class of functions which is closed under squaring.
Then NTIME(\( \mathcal{F} \)) = H_{\mathcal{F}}(DCF ∨ DCF).

The proof bases on a representation of Turing machine computations given
by Hartmanis in [14], where \( H(CF ∨ CF) = RE \) is shown. This relation can be
to \( RE = H(LIN ∨ LIN) \). We can extend this representation to complexity
bounds obtaining

COROLLARY 1: Assume that \( \mathcal{F} \) is closed under squaring.
Then 1-k T-NTIME(\( \mathcal{F} \)) = H_{\mathcal{F}}(LIN ∨ LIN) holds for classes of bounding
functions \( \mathcal{F} \) with \( f(n) ≥ n \) and \( (f(n))^2 \in \mathcal{F} \) for all \( f \in \mathcal{F} \).

Theorem 2 shows that it is sufficient to have one intersection if the class of
bounding functions is closed under squaring.

Is this true also for space complexity classes?

We only know

THEOREM 3: For every bounding function \( s \) with \( s(n) ≥ \log n \).
1-T-NSPACE(s) ≤ EXP_s(1-DC ∨ 1-DC) holds.

Proof: Lemma 1 bases on an encoding of a tape bounded by \( s \) by three
counters whose length is bounded by EXP_s. The contents \( i, j, k \) of these three
counters can be encoded as \( 2^i 3^j 5^k \) using two counters. Obviously the length
of the two counters is then bounded by EXP_s and also the time necessary
for these simulations is bounded by EXP_s.

Now lemma 2 and 3 are applicable and theorem 3 is proved. It is an open
question whether this amount of erasing is necessary.

The time-space problem.
NSPACE(s) ≤ NTIME(EXP_s) can be reformulated as
\( EXP_s(1-DC ∨ 1-DC ∨ 1-DC) ≤ NTIME(EXP_s(1-DC ∨ 1-DC ∨ 1-DC)) \)
\( (= H_{EXP_s}(DCF ∨ DCF ∨ DCF)) \).
This leads to the main results of this paper:

1. We investigate which class is obtained if we substitute one of the components 1-DC by DCF, i.e., what is $H_{\text{EXP}}(1-\text{DC} \land 1-\text{DC} \land \text{DCF})$.

2. We give a simple class of languages (namely real-time checking stack languages) which can be substituted for one component 1-DC to get $H_{\text{EXP}}(\text{DCF} \land \text{DCF})$.

**Theorem 4:**

$1\text{-aux PD-T-NSPACE-TIME}(s, \text{EXP}s) = H_{\text{EXP}}(\text{DCF} \land 1-\text{DC} \land 1-\text{DC})$.

**Proof:** The proof bases on the padding argument described in [7] which was used in lemma 2.

1. "$\supseteq$": Assume that $L \in H_{\text{EXP}}(\text{DCF} \land 1-\text{DC} \land 1-\text{DC})$.

Then there is a constant $c$, languages $L_1 \in \text{DCF}$, $L_2, L_3 \in 1-\text{DC}$ and a homomorphism $h$ which is $2^c \cdot s(n)$-bounded erasing on $L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3$ such that $L = h(L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3)$, i.e., $w \in L$ if and only if there is a $w' \in L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3$ with $h(w') = w$. Since $h$ is $2^c \cdot s(n)$-bounded erasing there is a constant $c'$ such that $c' \cdot |w| \leq 2^c \cdot s(|w'|)$. Now a Turing machine $M$ with one-way input tape, a Turing tape and a pushdown tape accepting $L$ works as follows:

$M$ guesses an input $w'$ symbol by symbol and tests simultaneously $w' \in L_1$ by its pushdown tape, $w' \in L_2 \cap L_3$ by its Turing tape in space $s$ and tests $h(w') = w$. It is straightforward that this can be done in time bounded by $2^{c' \cdot s}$ for an appropriate constant $c''$.

2. "$\subseteq$": Let $L \in 1\text{-aux PD-T-NSPACE-TIME}(s, \text{EXP}s)$. There is a machine $M$ with a $s$-bounded Turing tape and an auxiliary pushdown tape which accepts $L$ operating in time EXP$s$. We want to simulate the work of $M$ by a machine $M'$ with two counters and a pushdown tape operating in time EXP$s$. Because the Turing tape of $M$ is $s$-bounded it can be simulated by three counters in time EXP$s$ (cf. lemma 1). After simulating one step of the pushdown store of $M$ by the pushdown store of $M'$ the machine $M'$ sets a marker on its pushdown store and uses the pushdown as an additional counter thus having three counters for the simulation of one step of the Turing tape of $M$. Because after some transformations the encoded content of the Turing tape of $M$ is stored only in two counters the pushdown store of $M'$ is free again to simulate one step of the pushdown of $M$ and so on. Obviously the whole simulation can be done in time EXP$s$. Now a slight generalization of lemma 2 and 3 to the case of time-bounded machines with one pushdown store and two counters gives the assertion of theorem 4.

Of course theorem 4 gives no answer to the question $H_{\text{EXP}}(1-\text{DC} \land 1-\text{DC} \land \text{DCF}) = H_{\text{EXP}}(\text{DCF} \land \text{DCF})$ but if we use a checking stack tape instead of a pushdown tape we have equality:
THEOREM 5:
\[ \text{NTIME} (\text{EXP}_s) = H_{\text{EXP}}^s (\text{DCF} \land \text{DCF}) = H_{\text{EXP}}^s (1 \cdot \text{DC} \land \text{DCSA}). \]

Proof: The inclusion "\( \supseteq \)" in (1) is obvious. For the other inclusion "\( \subseteq \)" we remark that the checking stack which works within time \( \text{EXP}_s \) guesses the accepting computation sequence of configurations of the corresponding Turing machine which works in time \( \text{EXP}_s \) and then by 1 counter it is tested whether this sequence describes an accepting computation of a Turing machine. Obviously all this can be done in time \( \text{EXP}_s \).

Theorem 5 is closely related to a result on space complexity classes with and without an auxiliary checking stack tape shown by Ibarra [16].

4. BOUNDED ERASING TRANSDUCTIONS

In the previous section bounded erasing homomorphisms have been applied to certain classes of languages. Pushdown (and other) transductions are a well-known concept of formal language theory (cf. [1]). They are characterized by so-called "characterizing languages" (in the case of pushdown transductions these are context-free languages) and pairs of homomorphisms applied to the characterizing languages.

We investigate here the result of applying pairs of bounded erasing homomorphisms in the representation of transductions. This is the content of sections 4 and 5. Hereby it turns out that the padding method known from [7] is also applicable in these cases. We describe the notions used here only informally. A pushdown transducer is a pushdown automaton with an (one-way) output tape (see [1]).

The transduction \( \tau(P) \) computed by a pushdown transducer \( P \) is the set of pairs \( (x, y) \), for which there is a computation of \( P \) where \( P \) starting on the input word \( x \) in the initial state and with empty pushdown and output tape comes to a final state when the input \( x \) is read, and the output tape contains \( y \). This is the notion used in [1].

In the case where the pushdown store has only one symbol the pushdown transducer is a counter transducer.

A pushdown transducer \( P \) is \( f \)-bounded erasing on \( L \) iff there is a constant \( c \) such that for all \( (u, v) \in \tau(P) \) with \( u \in L \), \( c \cdot f(|v|) \geq |u| \) holds.

Correspondingly \( f \)-bounded erasing transductions are defined. Furthermore we use the notion of characterizing languages from [1]: Let \( \varepsilon \) denote the empty string.
(a) A language $L \subseteq (\Sigma \cup \Delta)^*$ characterizes the transduction $\tau$, $\tau \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Delta^*$ iff there are homomorphisms $h_1$, $h_2$ such that $\tau = \{(h_1(w), h_2(w)) : w \in L\}$.

Let $\Delta' = \{ b' : b \in \Delta \}$ and suppose that $\Delta \cap \Delta' = \emptyset$.

(b) A language $L \subseteq (\Sigma \cup \Delta)^*$ strongly characterizes the transduction $\tau$, $\tau \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Delta^*$ iff:

1) $\Sigma \cap \Delta' = \emptyset$,
2) $\tau = \{(h_1(w), h_2(w)) : w \in L \}$, where:
   (a) $h_1(a) = a$ for all $a \in \Sigma$, $h_1(b) = e$ for all $b \in \Delta'$;
   (b) $h_2(a) = e$ for all $a \in \Sigma$, $h_2(b') = b$ for all $b' \in \Delta'$.

The following property can be found in [1].

PROPOSITION: $\tau$ is a pushdown transduction iff $\tau$ is strongly characterizable by a context-free language.

This property was generalized by Ibarra [15] to the case of AFA and AFT and corresponding characterization languages given by the underlying AFA.

Now we generalize this proposition to the case of bounded erasing.

THEOREM 6: The following properties are equivalent:

1) The pushdown (one-counter) transduction $\tau$ is $f$-bounded erasing on $\mathcal{L}$.
2) There is a context-free (one-counter) language which characterizes $\tau$ and for the corresponding homomorphisms $h_1$, $h_2$, $c \cdot f(|h_2(w)|) \geq |h_1(w)|$ holds for an appropriate constant $c$.
3) There is a context-free (one-counter) language $L$ which strongly characterizes $\tau$ and for the corresponding homomorphisms $h_1$, $h_2$ there is an appropriate constant $c$ such that $c \cdot f(|h_2(w)|) \geq |w|$ holds for all $w \in L \cap h_1^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$.

The proof of this theorem is a straightforward application of the padding method described in [7].

5. TIME-BOUNDED TRANSDUCTIONS

In this section we investigate Turing machine transducers, which have a one-way input tape, a Turing tape as storage tape and a one-way output tape. The concept is the same as in section 4 with Turing machines instead of pushdown automata.

DEFINITION: Let $\tau$ be a Turing machine transduction and $M$ be a Turing machine transducer (with one working tape) which computes $\tau$. $\tau$ is nondeterministically computable within time bounded by $t$ iff for all $(u, v) \in \tau$
there is a computation path of $M$ where $M$ starting on $u$ processes the output $v$ and enters a final state (an accepting path) and every accepting path has a length of no more than $t(|u|)$ steps.

**Theorem 7:** The following properties are equivalent:

1) $\tau$ is a Turing machine transduction which is time-bounded by $t$.

2) There is a characterizing language $L \in \text{NTIME}(n)$ and homomorphisms $h_1, h_2$ such that:

$$\tau = \{ (h_1(w), h_2(w)) : w \in L \text{ and } h_1 \text{ is } f\text{-bounded erasing on } L \}.$$

3) There is a language $L \in \text{NTIME}(f)$ and homomorphisms $h_1, h_2$ such that $\tau$ is strongly characterized by $L$ and $h_1, h_2$.

The proof of theorem 7 is also a straightforward application of the padding method from [7].
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